

The Association of Psychological Well-Being With Sensory and Cognitive Function and Neuronal Health in Aging Adults

Journal of Aging and Health
2022, Vol. 34(4-5) 529–538
© The Author(s) 2021
Article reuse guidelines:
sagepub.com/journals-permissions
DOI: 10.1177/08982643211046820
journals.sagepub.com/home/jah
SAGE

Natascha Merten, PhD, MS¹ , Aaron Alex Pinto, MS²,
Adam J. Paulsen, MS², Yanjun Chen, MD², Lauren K. Dillard, AuD³,
Mary E. Fischer, PhD², Carol D. Ryff, PhD⁴, Carla R. Schubert, MS²,
and Karen J. Cruickshanks, PhD^{1,2}

Abstract

Objectives: Psychological well-being (PWB) may be a potential modifiable risk factor of age-related diseases. We aimed to determine associations of PWB with sensorineural and cognitive function and neuronal health in middle-aged adults.

Methods: This study included 2039 Beaver Dam Offspring Study participants. We assessed PWB, hearing, visual acuity, contrast sensitivity impairment, olfactory impairment, cognition, and retinal (macular ganglion cell inner-plexiform layer, mGCIPL) thickness. Age-sex-education-adjusted multivariable linear, logistic regression, and generalized estimating equation models were used and then further adjusted for health-related confounders.

Results: Individuals with higher PWB had better hearing functions, visual acuity, and thicker mGCIPL and reduced odds for hearing, contrast sensitivity and olfactory impairment in age-sex-education-adjusted models. Effects on mGCIPL and visual and olfactory measures decreased with adjustment. Higher PWB was associated with better cognition, better combined sensorineural-cognitive function, and decreased cognitive impairment.

Discussion: Psychological well-being was associated with sensorineural-cognitive health indicating a potential of PWB interventions for healthy aging.

Keywords

purpose in life, positive relations, cognition, senses, retinal thickness

Introduction

Age-related sensory losses and cognitive decline and dementia are common in older adults and public health challenges in aging populations. Sensory and cognitive declines might influence each other over the lifespan and are affected by neurodegeneration, sharing common risk factors (Albers et al., 2015; Merten, Fischer, et al., 2020; Pronk et al., 2019; Schubert et al., 2019). Recently, retinal nerve cells, and, specifically, macular ganglion cell inner-plexiform layer thickness (mGCIPL) was found to be associated with sensorineural (hearing, vision, and olfactory function) and cognitive function and has been discussed as a marker of neuronal health (Merten, Paulsen, et al., 2020; Ward et al., 2020). Neurodegenerative processes start in midlife, decades before clinical symptom onset, indicating a need for early intervention and prevention (Jack et al., 2013).

In the search for modifiable risk factors for age-related diseases, psychological well-being (PWB) has received

growing interest. PWB is an overarching term for a multifaceted concept including eudaimonic (e.g., purpose, fulfillment), and hedonic (e.g., feeling good) components. Having a purpose in life and positive relations with others are two PWB key aspects that have been associated with mental and physical health (Ryff, 2014; Windsor et al., 2015).

¹Department of Population Health Sciences, School of Medicine and Public Health, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, USA

²Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences, School of Medicine and Public Health, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, USA

³Department of Communication Sciences and Disorders, College of Letters and Science, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, USA

⁴Institute on Aging/Psychology, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, USA

Corresponding Author:

Natascha Merten, PhD, MS, Department of Population Health Sciences, School of Medicine and Public Health, University of Wisconsin-Madison, WARF Office Building, 610 Walnut Street, Madison, WI 53726, USA.
Email: natascha.merten@wisc.edu

Purpose in life represents a self-organizing life aim that provides a sense of meaning, stimulates goals, and manages behaviors (McKnight & Kashdan, 2009). Positive relations and social support through deep, trusting interpersonal relations are important for PWB (Ryff, 2014).

While in previous research reduced PWB has often been considered a result of reduced physical health, growing research operationalizes PWB as a determinant of various health outcomes in order to investigate its protective utility for human health (Ryff, 2014). PWB has been associated with reduced mortality (Cohen et al., 2016; Diener & Chan, 2011; Laugesen et al., 2018; Pantell et al., 2013) and better physical health (Ryff, 2014; Windsor et al., 2015; Yoo & Ryff, 2019), including vascular, metabolic, and inflammation factors (Boylan & Ryff, 2015; Brooks et al., 2014; Cohen et al., 2016; Kiecolt-Glaser et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2013; Radler et al., 2018; Smith & Ruiz, 2002; Yang et al., 2016; Yu et al., 2015; Zilioli et al., 2015). Multiple pathways that may explain how PWB might positively affect human health have been suggested (Ryff & Kim, 2020). One pathway might be through better lifestyle and health behaviors. Higher purpose in life has been associated with better physical function (Kim et al., 2017) and more health-protective behaviors, that is, physical activity and vegetable intake (Hill et al., 2018; Hooker & Masters, 2016), preventive health care, medical check-ups, and cancer screenings (Chen et al., 2019; Kim et al., 2014). Another mechanism might be through the contribution of enriched and stimulating environments, that is, social activities (Lu et al., 2003) that could improve brain reserve (Stern, 2009). Finally, psychological and social resources could be protective against negative emotional effects and stress; and effects of PWB on physiological processes of immune function and stress hormones are plausible (Berkman et al., 2013). Vascular, metabolic, and inflammation factors have been shown to be important risk factors for sensory and cognitive decline (Schubert et al., 2019; Whitson et al., 2018) and system-wide neurodegenerative processes may play a role in declining sensory and cognitive function (Albers et al., 2015). Therefore, potential better lifestyles and more brain reserve in individuals with higher PWB could also contribute to better sensory and cognitive function. However, few cohort studies on sensory function and PWB exist (Boesveldt et al., 2017; Kramer et al., 2002; Mick et al., 2018; Mick & Pichora-Fuller, 2016; Strawbridge et al., 2000). Limitations of such previous studies are self-reported sensory assessments and/or a focus on social functions, while other aspects of PWB, such as purpose in life, and more complex constructs remain unstudied. Some epidemiological studies have been investigating PWB and cognition and found that PWB was associated with better cognitive function, less decline (Barnes et al., 2004; James et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2019; Lewis et al., 2017; Seeman et al., 2001; Windsor et al., 2015), and reduced risk for mild cognitive impairment and dementia in older adults (Boyle et al., 2010; Kuiper et al., 2015; Scarmeas et al.,

2001; Xu et al., 2019). However, previous work often relied on verbal-only cognitive assessments, which can be confounded, for example, by hearing loss, and few studies in midlife exist (Kats et al., 2016; Lewis et al., 2017; Nakanishi et al., 2019). Furthermore, existing research on PWB and sensory and cognitive functions largely featured self-reported measures of confounding risk factors for sensory and cognitive decline. Studies with a thorough characterization of participants' health are lacking. To our knowledge, studies on the association between PWB and multiple measures of sensorineural functioning and measures of neuronal health, such as mGCIPL, have not been conducted.

Importantly, PWB can be modified by group interventions and environmental changes (Chan et al., 2017; Friedman et al., 2019; Ryff, 2014). Purpose in life can be renewed through career counseling, higher education, or volunteer activities. Regular group exercises can improve social support satisfaction (Chan et al., 2017). Psychological well-being interventions might, therefore, promote healthy brain aging. With neuropathologic changes occurring decades prior to clinically manifested symptoms, interventions to prevent sensory and cognitive decline and neurodegenerative diseases may be most beneficial in midlife.

The aim of this study was to determine the association of PWB with sensorineural and cognitive function and mGCIPL thickness as a marker of neuronal health in midlife.

Materials and Methods

Study Population

This cross-sectional study is based on participants of the 10 year follow-up of the Beaver Dam Offspring Study (BOSS), a prospective cohort study of aging (Nash et al., 2011). The adult offspring of the population-based Epidemiology of Hearing Loss Study participants were eligible for the baseline BOSS examination (2005–2008) and have been followed every 5 years. Psychological well-being was assessed for the first time at the 10 year follow-up (2015–2017). We included all BOSS 10 year follow-up participants in this study who had a measure of PWB and at least one of the sensory or cognitive outcomes or mGCIPL. The study was approved by the University of Wisconsin Health Sciences Institutional Review Board (2014–1337, Beaver Dam Offspring Study) with written informed consent from all participants before each examination.

Measurements

With the exception of long-term inflammation, all measures included in this study were based on the assessment at the 10 year follow-up.

Psychological well-being. Assessment of PWB was based on participants' responses to the two subscales Positive

Relations with Others and Purpose in Life of the Ryff PWB inventory (Ryff, 2014). Each subscale had seven items. Scores could vary between 7 and 49 (from low to high). Psychological well-being was defined as the sum of both scales' score (14–98 points) and z-standardized. Internal validity alphas for Purpose in Life and Positive Relations with Others and for the combined PWB scale were acceptable and good (.73, .77, and .83, respectively).

Sensorineural measures

Hearing measures. Hearing thresholds for both ears were obtained at .5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, and 8 kHz (air-conduction) and .5 and 2 kHz (bone-conduction) using clinical audiometers with TDH-50P earphones and ER-3A insert earphones (in cases of probable ear-canal collapse). Testing followed American National Standards Institute standards for equipment and American Speech-Language-Hearing Association guidelines (American National Standards Institute, 1999, 2010; American Speech-Language-Hearing Association (ASHA), 1978) and was conducted in a sound-treated booth. In the very few instances when testing was outside a booth, the insert earphones were used. When necessary, masking was done. The pure-tone average (PTA) of air-conduction thresholds at .5, 1, 2, and 4 kHz in decibel hearing level (dB HL) for each ear was used to measure hearing sensitivity, with impairment defined as PTA > 25 dB HL (Merten, Paulsen, et al., 2020). Higher-order auditory function was assessed with two additional hearing tests. The dichotic digits test (DDT) was administered binaurally with 25 sets of triple-digit pairs. Three digits were presented to each ear simultaneously at 70 dB HL. The participant's task was to repeat as many of the six digits as possible. The Word Recognition in Competing Message (WRCM) with the Northwestern University Auditory Test Number six was administered to the better ear. In the WRCM, 25 words were presented by a single female speaker to the better hearing ear at 36 dB HL above the individual's hearing threshold at 2 kHz. If thresholds at 2 kHz were equal, the right ear was tested. The competing message (single male speaker) was added at a level 8 dB HL below the female speaker's level in that same ear (Wiley et al., 1998; Wilson et al., 1990). The percentage of correctly repeated digits from both ears in the free recall condition was the DDT outcome and the percentage of correctly repeated words was the WRCM outcome (Merten, Paulsen, et al., 2020).

Vision measures. Contrast sensitivity (CS) was measured in each eye separately using a Pelli–Robson letter chart (Pelli et al., 1988). Participants were instructed to read as many letters as possible until 2 letters in a triplet were missed. We used the last triplet in which a participant correctly identified at least two of the 3 letters to assign a log CS score. Impairment was defined as log CS score ≤ 1.55 (Paulsen et al., 2018). We measured best-corrected monocular visual acuity (VA) in each eye with the Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study chart R modified for a 2 m distance (ETDRS

Coordinating Center Department of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine University of Maryland School of Medicine, 1980; Paulsen et al., 2018). We asked participants to read the letters from the 2 m chart until they could no longer correctly identify at least three of 5 letters on a line (scores 0–70). For those unable to read any letters at 2 m, a 1 m chart was used (scores –30 to –1). People who could not read any letter on the 1 m chart were assigned values of –40. The number of correctly identified letters was the VA outcome.

Olfaction measure. To test olfaction, the San Diego Odor Identification Test (SDOIT) was used. Eight common odorants were randomly presented with a 45 s interstimulus interval. A picture board including the eight odorants and additional 12 distractor items was used to aid identification (verbal response or pointing on item on picture board). The number of correctly identified odors was summed and impairment defined as < 6 out of eight correct (Merten, Paulsen, et al., 2020).

Cognitive measures. We conducted a neurocognitive test battery consisting of: Trail-Making Tests A and B (TMTA, TMTB), modified Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (AVLT), Digit Symbol Substitution Test (DSST), and Verbal Fluency Test (VFT) measuring the cognitive domains of attention, speed, executive function, memory, and verbal fluency (Merten, Paulsen, et al., 2020). Participants were allowed to use assistive devices when performing cognitive tasks (their own glasses and/or hearing aids and we provided reading glasses and/or a Pocket Talker (Pocket Talker Pro™, Williams Sound, Eden Prairie, MN) if they needed them).

In TMTA, consecutive numbers were to be connected and in TMTB, consecutive numbers, and letters were to be connected in an alternating fashion. Completion time in seconds was the outcome with longer durations indicating poorer performance. Inability to complete each subtest in 5 min resulted in a score of 301 s (Reitan, 1992). In DSST, participants convert numbers to symbols based on a key. The number of correctly converted numbers in 90 s served as outcome score. For the AVLT, subjects were asked to recall as many words as they could from a list of 15 verbally presented words. Three trials with the same 15 word list were administered followed by a new 15 words distractor list. Immediately after recalling words from the distractor list, the participant was asked to recall as many words as they could from the first word list. The number of words correctly recalled from the first list in the final trial was our measure of memory function (González et al., 2015; Ivnik et al., 1992a; Zhong et al., 2014). In the VFT, the task is to produce as many words as possible starting with the letters F, A, and S, within 60 s for each letter. Total numbers of words provided for the three letters were summed as test outcome (Strauss et al., 2006).

The Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) was completed by participants aged > 50 years. Memory concerns were ascertained by two questions. Cognitive impairment was defined as memory concerns and impairment in at least one cognitive domain (executive function, memory, and verbal function), self- or surrogate report of physician diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease or dementia, or a MMSE score < 24 (Merten, Paulsen, et al., 2020). We used cutoffs of 1.5 standard deviations (*SD*) below the mean; or roughly equivalent, 3rd–5th percentile of published age-specific norm distributions of the tests to determine impairments on the cognitive tests (Ivnik et al., 1992a, 1992b, 1996; Tombaugh, 1999).

Measure of neuronal health. Optical coherence tomography (OCT) utilizing automated segmentation techniques was used to measure the thickness of the retinal nerve cell layers. We conducted a Macular Cube 512 × 128 scan on each eye, centered on the fovea, using the Cirrus 5000 HD-OCT (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Inc). The mGCIPL represents a combination of neuronal cell bodies and dendrites in the macula. Average mGCIPL thicknesses (in μm) from each eye were used in analyses (Merten, Paulsen, et al., 2020).

Other variables. We assessed participants' age, sex, education, smoking status, history of heavy alcohol consumption (ever been drinking more than four alcoholic beverages per day), diabetes (history of diabetes diagnosis and/or glycated hemoglobin $\geq 6.5\%$), history of cardiovascular disease (stroke, myocardial infarction, angina, congestive heart failure, transient ischemic attack, peripheral vascular disease, thrombosis, angioplasty or a stent operation, coronary bypass, and/or carotid arteries surgery), waist circumference (in cm), regular exercise (at least once a week long enough to work up a sweat) and long-term inflammatory status. We measured high-sensitivity c-reactive protein, interleukin-6, vascular cell adhesion protein one and intercellular adhesion molecule one from stored blood samples and defined long-term elevated inflammation as being repeatedly in the highest group for at least one inflammation marker at baseline and 5 year follow-up (Nash et al., 2011; Paulsen et al., 2018). For high-sensitivity c-reactive protein, participants were divided into three risk groups (<1, 1–3, and >3 mg/L) according to established cut-points and we compared the highest risk group (>3 mg/L) to the rest (Pearson et al., 2003). For the other three markers, we compared the highest tertile against the rest.

Statistical Analyses

Statistical analyses were conducted using SAS software v.9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc, Cary, NC). The composite cognitive function score (in *SD*) was created using a principal component analysis on neurocognitive test data (TMTA, TMTB, DSST, AVLT, and VFT) (Merten, Paulsen, et al., 2020). Moreover, using principal component analysis, we calculated a combined sensorineural-cognitive function (brain aging)

score in order to represent the integrity of the entire sensorineural system by combining sensorineural (PTA, CS, and SDOIT), and neurocognitive (TMTA, TMTB, DSST, AVLT, and VFT) test performances (Schubert et al., 2019).

We used multivariable linear regression, logistic regression, and generalized estimating equation (GEE) models to assess the strength of associations of the determinant PWB with sensorineural and cognitive function, mGCIPL thickness, and the combined measure of the brain aging as outcomes. Secondary analyses with individual cognitive tests are shown in Supplemental Appendix 1. The GEE approach was used for paired measures (hearing sensitivity, hearing impairment, CS, VA, and mGCIPL) to include both measures in a single model adjusting for the correlation between paired measures. There was insufficient variation in CS and olfactory test performance to support continuous analyses in this middle-aged cohort. Models were adjusted for age, sex and education, and repeated additionally adjusting for chronic health conditions and behaviors (smoking, history of heavy alcohol consumption, diabetes, cardiovascular disease, long-term inflammation, waist circumference, and exercise). We tested for sex interactions. Regression diagnostic plots were used to evaluate the fit and whether data satisfied the assumptions of linear regression. In order to visualize our results, we generated forest plots of the associations of PWB (per 1 *SD* Increase) and the odds for impairment in sensory and cognitive function (Supplemental Appendix 2).

Results

This study included 2039 participants (mean age = 58 years; *SD* = 10, range = 27–89; 55% women; Table 1). There were no significant sex interactions.

Higher PWB was associated with better hearing sensitivity (−.91 dB HL decrease per 1 *SD* increase in PWB; 95% confidence interval (CI) −1.64, −.18; $p = .01$) and dichotic digits performance (.91% increase per 1 *SD* increase in PWB; 95% CI .35, 1.48; $p = .002$) in fully adjusted models. Effect sizes were comparable to 1.5 years and 3.5 years of less aging, respectively. Individuals with higher PWB had reduced odds of hearing impairment (odds ratio OR = .88 per 1 *SD* increase in PWB; 95% CI .77, .99; $p = .04$) in fully adjusted models (Table 2, Supplemental Appendix 2). In fully adjusted models, PWB did not show associations with WRCM, VA, or risk for CS or olfactory impairment (Supplemental Appendix 2).

Higher PWB was strongly associated with better cognitive function (.07 *SD* increase per 1 *SD* increase in PWB; 95% CI .03, .11; $p = .0006$), which compared to an effect of 2 years of less aging and decreased odds for cognitive impairment (OR = .60 per 1 *SD* increase in PWB; 95% CI .47, .76; $p < .0001$) in fully adjusted models (Table 3, Supplemental Appendix 2). Psychological well-being was also associated with better cognitive test scores on the TMTA, TMTB, and DSST (Supplemental Appendix 1).

Table 1. Characteristics of the Analytic Sample of the Beaver Dam Offspring Study ($N = 2039$).

	n (%)			
Sex				
Women	1118	(54.8)		
Men	921	(45.2)		
Education				
0–12 years	552	(27.1)		
13–15 years	707	(34.7)		
16 years and more	780	(38.3)		
Smoking				
Never	1172	(57.9)		
Former	637	(31.4)		
Current	217	(10.7)		
History of heavy alcohol	374	(19.0)		
Cardiovascular disease	232	(11.5)		
Diabetes	252	(12.4)		
Long-term elevated inflammation	765	(46.8)		
Exercise at least once a week	1369	(67.7)		
Visual acuity, letters correct, better eye	59.2	(5.8)		
Hearing impairment	533	(27.4)		
Contrast sensitivity impairment	137	(7.0)		
Olfactory impairment	110	(5.7)		
Cognitive impairment	83	(4.1)		
	M(SD)	Range	Skewness	Kurtosis
Age, yrs	57.9 (9.6)	27–89	0.13	–0.17
Waist circumference, cm	103.2 (16.5)	64–179.5	0.46	0.40
Hearing sensitivity, PTA worse ear, dB HL	21.0 (15.0)	–3.8–125	2.08	7.56
DDT score, % correct	78.8 (11.4)	35.3–100	–0.31	–0.27
WRCM score, % correct	54.5 (17.2)	0–92	–0.88	0.66
Cognitive function	0 (1)	–6.4–3.5	–1.24	4.45
Brain aging	0 (1)	–6.2–3.2	–1.29	4.19
mGCIPL, worse eye, μm	77.1 (9.0)	23–98	–1.57	5.58
Psychological well-being	81.1 (12.5)	24–98	–0.94	0.54

Note. Sample sizes differ slightly due to missing data; If not stated otherwise measure was collected at the 10-year follow-up; Long-term elevated inflammation was based on measures from stored blood samples from the baseline and 5-year follow-up, where we measured high-sensitivity c-reactive protein, interleukin-6, vascular cell adhesion protein 1, and intercellular adhesion molecule 1. Long-term elevated inflammation was defined as being repeatedly in the highest group for at least one inflammation marker at baseline and 5-year follow-up. For high-sensitivity c-reactive protein, participants were divided into three risk groups (<1, 1–3, and > 3 mg/L) according to established cut-points and we compared the highest risk group to the rest. For the other three markers, we compared the highest tertile against the rest.

Note. DDT, dichotic digits test; M, mean; mGCIPL, macular ganglion cell inner-plexiform layer; SD, standard deviation; PTA, pure-tone average 0.5–4 kHz; WRCM, word recognition in competing message test.

Individuals with higher PWB had thicker mGCIPL in age–sex–education–adjusted models, which was comparable to an effect of 1.5 years of less aging, but the effect size slightly decreased and was no longer statistically significant in the fully adjusted model (.33 μm increase per 1 *SD* increase in PWB; 95% CI $-.05, .71$; $p = .08$; Table 3).

Moreover, higher PWB was associated with higher scores on our combined sensorineural-cognitive measure of brain aging (.08 *SD* increase per 1 *SD* increase in PWB; 95% CI $.04, .12$; $p < .0001$; Table 3), comparable to 2 years of less aging.

Discussion

We found that higher PWB was associated with better sensorineural and cognitive function in middle-aged adults. These associations remained after adjusting for education, chronic health conditions, and health behaviors. Notably, with every one *SD* increase in PWB participants showed benefits in sensorineural-cognitive function that were comparable to a difference of around 2 years of less aging.

These results extend previous findings to a study of multiple objectively and comprehensively assessed sensory

Table 2. Associations between psychological well-being (per 1 SD increase) and hearing, vision, and olfaction.

	Model 1 ^a	Model 2 ^b
	B (95% CI)	B (95% CI)
Hearing sensitivity score, in dB HL ^c	−1.00 (−1.61, −.40)**	−.91 (−1.64, −.18)*
Dichotic digits test score, in % ^d	1.02 (.52, 1.53)***	.91 (.35, 1.48)**
Word recognition score, in % ^d	.48 (−.22, 1.18)	.56 (−.26, 1.37)
Visual acuity score, in letters correct ^c	.31 (.02, .60)*	.20 (−.14, .54)
	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
Hearing sensitivity impairment ^c	.88 (.79, .98)*	.88 (.77, .99)*
Contrast sensitivity impairment ^c	.87 (.77, .97)*	.92 (.81, 1.05)
Olfactory impairment ^e	.82 (.68, 1.00)	.88 (.69, 1.11)

† $p < .10$; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$. Note. CI, confidence interval; dB HL, decibel hearing level; OR, odds ratio.

^aModel adjusted for age, sex, and education

^bModel adjusted for age, sex, education, chronic health conditions and health behaviors (smoking, history of heavy alcohol consumption, diabetes, cardiovascular disease, long-term elevated inflammation, exercise, and waist circumference)

^cGeneralized estimating equation model

^dMultivariable linear regression model

^eMultivariable logistic regression model.

Table 3. Associations between psychological well-being (per 1 SD increase) and cognition, mGCIPL, and brain aging.

	Model 1 ^a	Model 2 ^b
	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
Cognitive impairment ^c	.56 (.46, .69)***	.60 (.47, .76)***
	B (95% CI)	B (95% CI)
Cognitive score, in SD ^d	.09 (.06, .13)***	.07 (.03, .11)***
mGCIPL thickness, in μm ^e	.36 (.03, .70)*	.33 (−.05, .71) [†]
Brain aging score, in SD ^d	.11 (.07, .14)***	.08 (.04, .12)***

† $p < .10$; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$. Note. SD, standard deviation; OR, odds ratio; mGCIPL, macular ganglion cell inner-plexiform layer.

^aModel adjusted for age, sex, and education

^bModel adjusted for age, sex, education, chronic health conditions, and health behaviors (smoking, history of heavy alcohol consumption, diabetes, cardiovascular disease, long-term elevated inflammation, exercise, and waist circumference).

^cMultivariable logistic regression model.

^dMultivariable linear regression model.

^eGeneralized estimating equation model.

and cognitive functions and associations in midlife, a time when interventions should be more efficacious (Boesveldt et al., 2017; Boyle et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2019; Kramer et al., 2002; Kuiper et al., 2015; Lewis et al., 2017; Mick et al., 2018; Mick & Pichora-Fuller, 2016; Nakanishi et al., 2019; Strawbridge et al., 2000; Windsor et al., 2015). Importantly, we were able to show that associations were independent of confounding of multiple physiologically assessed health factors such as inflammation and vascular risks, exceeding studies based on self-report. Moreover, we could compare associations across different sensorineural functions and

found that among the senses, associations with hearing measures were strongest.

Our results are in line with research on the connection between PWB and various health functions. Since PWB is not simply the reverse of psychological distress its associations with physical and neuronal health are likely complicated and bi-directional (Ryff, 2014). Most previous studies have shown that PWB is often compromised in those with chronic health conditions or illness. However, growing research has also investigated its protective utility for human health (Boylan & Ryff, 2015; Brooks et al., 2014; Cohen et al., 2016; Kiecolt-Glaser et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2013; Radler et al., 2018; Ryff, 2014; Ryff & Kim, 2020; Smith & Ruiz, 2002; Windsor et al., 2015; Yoo & Ryff, 2019; Yu et al., 2015; Zilioli et al., 2015). A better lifestyle and health behaviors (Hooker & Masters, 2016; Kim et al., 2014) and enriched and stimulating environments, i.e., social activities (Lu et al., 2003) could improve brain reserve (Stern, 2009) and are considered to contribute to these effects. Moreover, psychological, and social resources could be protective against negative emotional effects and stress (Berkman et al., 2013). Importantly, PWB is considered modifiable (Chan et al., 2017; Friedman et al., 2019; Ryff, 2014), emphasizing a potential of PWB interventions to slow or stabilize sensory and cognitive decline. Strategies to maintain PWB might be most beneficial when applied early in the process of declining sensory and cognitive functions. However, future longitudinal studies are needed to assess the direction of effects between PWB and sensory and cognitive health. Moreover, future research needs to determine the efficacy of PWB intervention for improvement in neuronal health (Ryff, 2014).

We found associations of PWB with hearing and cognitive impairment that remained when adjusting for health-related

confounders, suggesting an association independent of potential confounding through better health. This is consistent with three cohort studies showing associations between self-reported hearing impairment and reduced social functioning (Kramer et al., 2002; Mick et al., 2018; Strawbridge et al., 2000). We extend this research to objectively assessed hearing and confounder variables and we used a more multifaceted measure of PWB, which incorporated positive relations and purpose in life. Our results are also in line with existing epidemiological research primarily in older adults that shows associations of PWB with better cognition, less decline and reduced risk for impairment (Barnes et al., 2004; Boyle et al., 2010; James et al., 2011; Kats et al., 2016; Kim et al., 2019; Kuiper et al., 2015; Lewis et al., 2017; Nakanishi et al., 2019; Scarmeas et al., 2001; Seeman et al., 2001; Windsor et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2019). This study expands upon the limited research on midlife and extends findings to associations of the multifaceted PWB construct with performance on a verbal and visual cognitive test battery while adjusting for well-characterized potential health confounders.

There is always some task-impurity when assessing sensory and cognitive function using behavioral tests. To minimize measurement error due to sensory problems, participants used assistive devices when performing cognitive tasks (their own glasses and/or hearing aids and we provided reading glasses and/or a Pocket Talker (Pocket Talker ProTM, Williams Sound, Eden Prairie, MN) if they needed them). Longitudinal research has shown associations between sensory and cognitive decline and system-wide neurodegeneration can be considered a source of these co-occurring losses (Albers et al., 2015; Merten, Fischer, et al., 2020; Pronk et al., 2019; Schubert et al., 2019). It is difficult to disentangle the task-impurity problem from the co-occurring age-related declines in these systems with cross-sectional data. We did not include sensory measures to the analyses on cognitive function to avoid overcontrolling. Our results on an association of PWB with brain aging, which includes multiple dimensions of sensorineural and cognitive function even after confounder adjustment reflect this idea of system-wide neurodegeneration.

We did not find associations between PWB and visual function (VA or CS impairment) or olfactory impairment, which were objectively assessed in our study. One study reported associations of self-reported vision loss with lower social network diversity (among men only), and reduced social participation and support (Mick et al., 2018). Another cohort study found an association of social network size and socializing frequency and odor identification performance (Boesveldt et al., 2017). Our measure of PWB was not restricted to a social dimension but also entails purpose in life and these earlier studies incorporated potential confounder variables based on self-report. We found associations in the age–sex–education–adjusted models, which diminished in fully adjusted models. This might mean that associations between PWB

and vision and olfaction might primarily be confounded by healthier lifestyles and health status, or we might be over-adjusting for pathways through which PWB would promote sensory and cognitive health. Similarly, associations of PWB with mGCIPL were shown in age–sex–education–adjusted models and decreased to a trend level when adding health variables, which could be due to confounding or over-adjustment.

Limitations and Strengths

We used inflammation markers from earlier BOSS waves, as these were not measured at the 10 year follow-up. Due to the cross-sectional design, we were not able to investigate the temporality of effects of PWB and our functional outcomes. The BOSS cohort is primarily non-Hispanic White, which may limit the generalizability of results to other populations. Strengths of this study include the large middle-aged sample with a variety of objectively assessed sensorineural and cognitive functions and the mGCIPL. Furthermore, we were able to address numerous potential confounders assessed with physical examination data.

Conclusion

Higher PWB was associated with better function in multiple sensorineural and cognitive functions in midlife, a time when prevention and intervention methods should be more efficacious. The remaining associations after adjusting for various potential confounders emphasize its potential for healthy aging interventions. However, future studies will be needed to determine the temporality of effects and the efficacy of midlife PWB interventions for healthy sensory and cognitive aging and neurodegeneration.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This work was supported by the National Institute on Aging (Grant No. R01 AG021917) and Research to Prevent Blindness (Unrestricted Grant).

Data Availability

The datasets for this manuscript are not publicly available because of data protection regulations. Requests to access the datasets though data sharing agreements should be directed to Karen J Cruickshanks.

ORCID iD

Natascha Merten  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1405-1218>

Supplemental Material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

References

- Albers, M. W., Gilmore, G. C., Kaye, J., Murphy, C., Wingfield, A., Bennett, D. A., Boxer, A. L., Buchman, A. S., Cruickshanks, K. J., Devanand, D. P., Duffy, C. J., Gall, C. M., Gates, G. A., Granholm, A.-C., Hensch, T., Holtzer, R., Hyman, B. T., Lin, F. R., McKee, A. C., & Zhang, L. I. (2015). At the interface of sensory and motor dysfunctions and Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*, *11*(1), 70-98. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2014.04.514>
- American National Standards Institute (1999). Maximum permissible ambient noise levels for audiometric test rooms. In *ANSI, S3.1*. ANSI. S3.1.
- American National Standards Institute (2010). *Specification for audiometers*. ANSI. S3.6.
- American Speech-Language-Hearing Association (ASHA) (1978). Guidelines for manual pure-tone threshold audiometry. *ASHA*, *20*, 297-301.
- Barnes, L. L., Mendes De Leon, C. F., Wilson, R. S., Bienias, J. L., & Evans, D. A. (2004). Social resources and cognitive decline in a population of older African Americans and whites. *Neurology*, *63*(12), 2322-2326. <https://doi.org/10.1212/01.WNL.0000147473.04043.B3>
- Berkman, L. F., Glass, T., Brissette, I., & Seeman, T. E. (2013). From social integration to health: Durkheim in the new millennium. *Social Science and Medicine*, *51*, 843-857. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315013015-18>
- Boesveldt, S., Yee, J. R., McClintock, M. K., & Lundström, J. N. (2017). Olfactory function and the social lives of older adults: A matter of sex. *Scientific Reports*, *7*(1), 45118. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep45118>
- Boylan, J. M., & Ryff, C. D. (2015). Psychological well-being and metabolic syndrome. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, *77*(5), 548-558. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PSY.0000000000000192>
- Boyle, P. A., Buchman, A. S., Barnes, L. L., & Bennett, D. A. (2010). Effect of a purpose in life on risk of incident Alzheimer disease and mild cognitive impairment in community-dwelling older persons. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *67*(3), 304. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2009.208>
- Brooks, K. P., Gruenewald, T., Karlamangla, A., Hu, P., Koretz, B., & Seeman, T. E. (2014). Social relationships and allostatic load in the MIDUS study. *Health Psychology*, *33*(11), 1373-1381. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0034528>
- Chan, A., Yu, D., & Choi, K. (2017). Effects of tai chi qigong on psychosocial well-being among hidden elderly, using elderly neighborhood volunteer approach: a pilot randomized controlled trial. *Clinical Interventions in Aging*, *12*, 85-96. <https://doi.org/10.2147/CIA.S124604>
- Chen, Y., Kim, E. S., Koh, H. K., Frazier, A. L., & Vanderweele, T. J. (2019). Sense of Mission and Subsequent Health and Well-Being among Young Adults: An Outcome-Wide Analysis. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, *188*(4), 664-673. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwz009>
- Cohen, R., Bavishi, C., & Rozanski, A. (2016). Purpose in Life and Its Relationship to All-Cause Mortality and Cardiovascular Events. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, *78*(2), 122-133. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PSY.0000000000000274>
- ETDRS Coordinating Center Department of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine University of Maryland School of Medicine (1980). *Early treatment diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) manual of operations*
- Diener, E., & Chan, M. Y. (2011). Happy people live longer: Subjective well-being contributes to health and longevity. *Applied Psychology: Health and Well-Being*, *3*(1), 1-43. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1758-0854.2010.01045.x>
- Friedman, E. M., Ruini, C., Foy, C. R., Jaros, L., Love, G., & Ryff, C. D. (2019). Lighten UP! A community-based group intervention to promote eudaimonic well-being in older adults: A multi-site replication with 6 Month follow-up. *Clinical Gerontologist*, *42*(4), 387-397. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07317115.2019.1574944>
- González, H. M., Tarraf, W., Gouskova, N., Gallo, L. C., Penedo, F. J., Davis, S. M., Lipton, R. B., Argüelles, W., Choca, J. P., Catellier, D. J., & Mosley, T. H. (2015). Neurocognitive function among middle-aged and older Hispanic/Latinos: Results from the Hispanic community health study/study of latinos. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, *30*(1), 68-77. <https://doi.org/10.1093/arclin/acu066>
- Hill, P. L., Edmonds, G. W., & Hampson, S. E. (2018). Purpose to self-rated health through multiple health behaviors. *Journal of health psychology*, *24*(10), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1359105317708251.A>
- Hooker, S. A., & Masters, K. S. (2016). Purpose in life is associated with physical activity measured by accelerometer. *Journal of Health Psychology*, *21*(6), 962-971. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1359105314542822>
- Ivnik, R. J., Malec, J. F., Smith, G. E., Tangalos, E. G., & Petersen, R. C. (1996). Neuropsychological tests' norms above age 55: COWAT, BNT, MAE token, WRAT-R reading, AMNART, STROOP, TMT, and JLO. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist*, *10*(3), 262-278. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13854049608406689>
- Ivnik, R. J., Malec, J. F., Smith, G. E., Tangalos, E. G., Petersen, R. C., Kokmen, E., & Kurland, L. T. (1992a). Mayo's older Americans normative studies: Updated AVLT norms for ages 56 to 97. *Clinical Neuropsychologist*, *6*(sup001), 83-104. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13854049208401880>
- Ivnik, R. J., Malec, J. F., Smith, G. E., Tangalos, E. G., Petersen, R. C., Kokmen, E., & Kurland, L. T. (1992b). Mayo's older Americans normative studies: WAIS-R norms for ages 56 to 97. *Clinical Neuropsychologist*, *6*(sup001), 1-30. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13854049208401877>
- Jack, C. R., Knopman, D. S., Jagust, W. J., Petersen, R. C., Weiner, M. W., Aisen, P. S., Shaw, L. M., Vemuri, P., Wiste, H. J., Weigand, S. D., Lesnick, T. G., Pankratz, V. S., Donohue, M. C., & Trojanowski, J. Q. (2013). Tracking pathophysiological processes in Alzheimer's disease: an updated hypothetical model of dynamic biomarkers. *The Lancet Neurology*, *12*(2), 207-216. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422\(12\)70291-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(12)70291-0)
- James, B. D., Wilson, R. S., Barnes, L. L., & Bennett, D. A. (2011). Late-life social activity and cognitive decline in old age. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society*, *17*(6), 998-1005. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1355617711000531>

- Kats, D., Patel, M. D., Palta, P., Meyer, M. L., Gross, A. L., Whitsel, E. A., Knopman, D., Alonso, A., Mosley, T. H., & Heiss, G. (2016). Social support and cognition in a community-based cohort: The atherosclerosis risk in communities (ARIC) study. *Age and Ageing, 45*(4), 475-480. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afw060>
- Kiecolt-Glaser, J. K., Gouin, J.-P., & Hantsoo, L. (2010). Close relationships, inflammation, and health. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews, 35*(1), 33-38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2009.09.003>
- Kim, E. S., Kawachi, I., Chen, Y., & Kubzansky, L. D. (2017). Association between purpose in life and objective measures of physical function in older adults. *JAMA Psychiatry, 74*(10), 1039. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2017.2145>
- Kim, G., Shin, S. H., Scicolone, M. A., & Parmelee, P. (2019). Purpose in life protects against cognitive decline among older adults. *The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry, 27*(6), 593-601. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jagp.2019.01.010>
- Kim, E. S., Strecher, V. J., & Ryff, C. D. (2014). Purpose in life and use of preventive health care services. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 111*(46), 16331-16336. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1414826111>
- Kim, E. S., Sun, J. K., Park, N., & Peterson, C. (2013). Purpose in life and reduced incidence of stroke in older adults: 'The Health and Retirement Study'. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 74*(5), 427-432. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychores.2013.01.013>
- Kramer, S. E., Kapteyn, T. S., Kuik, D. J., & Deeg, D. J. H. (2002). The association of hearing impairment and chronic diseases with psychosocial health status in older age. *Journal of Aging and Health, 14*(1), 122-137. <https://doi.org/10.1177/089826430201400107>
- Kuiper, J. S., Zuidersma, M., Oude Voshaar, R. C., Zuidema, S. U., van den Heuvel, E. R., Stolk, R. P., & Smidt, N. (2015). Social relationships and risk of dementia: A systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal cohort studies. *Ageing Research Reviews, 22*, 39-57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2015.04.006>
- Laugesen, K., Baggesen, L. M., Schmidt, S. A. J., Glymour, M. M., Lasgaard, M., Milstein, A., Sørensen, H. T., Adler, N. E., & Ehrenstein, V. (2018). Social isolation and all-cause mortality: A population-based cohort study in Denmark. *Scientific Reports, 8*(1), 4731. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-22963-w>
- Lewis, N. A., Turiano, N. A., Payne, B. R., & Hill, P. L. (2017). Purpose in life and cognitive functioning in adulthood. *Ageing, Neuropsychology, and Cognition, 24*(6), 662-671. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13825585.2016.1251549>
- Lu, L., Bao, G., Chen, H., Xia, P., Fan, X., Zhang, J., Pei, G., & Ma, L. (2003). Modification of hippocampal neurogenesis and neuroplasticity by social environments. *Experimental Neurology, 183*(2), 600-609. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-4886\(03\)00248-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-4886(03)00248-6)
- McKnight, P. E., & Kashdan, T. B. (2009). Purpose in life as a system that creates and sustains health and well-being: An integrative, testable theory. *Review of General Psychology, 13*(3), 242-251. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0017152>
- Merten, N., Fischer, M. E., Tweed, T. S., Breteler, M. M. B., & Cruickshanks, K. J. (2020a). Associations of hearing sensitivity, higher-order auditory processing, and cognition over time in middle-aged adults. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series A, 75*(3), 545-551. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/glaz189>
- Merten, N., Paulsen, A. J., Pinto, A. A., Chen, Y., Dillard, L. K., Fischer, M. E., Huang, G.-H., Klein, B. E. K., Schubert, C. R., & Cruickshanks, K. J. (2020b). Macular ganglion cell-inner plexiform layer as a marker of cognitive and sensory function in midlife. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series A, 75*(9), e42-e48. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/glaa135>
- Mick, P., Parfyonov, M., Wittich, W., Phillips, N., Guthrie, D., & Kathleen Pichora-Fuller, M. (2018). Associations between sensory loss and social networks, participation, support, and loneliness: Analysis of the Canadian longitudinal study on aging. *Canadian Family Physician Medecin de Famille Canadien, 64*(1), e33-e41.
- Mick, P., & Pichora-Fuller, M. K. (2016). Is hearing loss associated with poorer health in older adults who might benefit from hearing screening? *Ear and Hearing, 37*(3), e194-e201. <https://doi.org/10.1097/AUD.0000000000000267>
- Nakanishi, M., Yamasaki, S., Nishida, A., & Richards, M. (2019). Midlife psychological well-being and its impact on cognitive functioning later in life: An observational study using a female British birth cohort. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease, 72*(3), 835-843. <https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-190590>
- Nash, S. D., Cruickshanks, K. J., Klein, R., Klein, B. E. K., Nieto, F. J., Huang, G. H., Pankow, J. S., & Tweed, T. S. (2011). The prevalence of hearing impairment and associated risk factors. *Archives of Otolaryngology-Head & Neck Surgery, 137*(5), 432-439. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archoto.2011.15>
- Pantell, M., Rehkopf, D., Jutte, D., Syme, S. L., Balmes, J., & Adler, N. (2013). Social isolation: A predictor of mortality comparable to traditional clinical risk factors. *American Journal of Public Health, 103*(11), 2056-2062. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2013.301261>
- Paulsen, A. J., Schubert, C. R., Johnson, L. J., Chen, Y., Dalton, D. S., Klein, B. E. K., Klein, R., Pinto, A., & Cruickshanks, K. J. (2018). Association of cadmium and lead exposure with the incidence of contrast sensitivity impairment among middle-aged adults. *JAMA Ophthalmology, 136*(12), 1342-1350. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2018.3931>
- Pearson, T. A., Mensah, G. A., Alexander, R. W., Anderson, J. L., Cannon, R. O., Criqui, M., Fadl, Y. Y., Fortmann, S. P., Hong, Y., Myers, G. L., Rifai, N., Smith, S. C., Taubert, K., Tracy, R. P., & Vinicor, F. (2003). Markers of inflammation and cardiovascular disease. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, & American Heart Association. *Circulation, 107*(3), 499-511. <https://doi.org/10.1161/01.cir.0000052939.59093.45>
- Pelli, D. G., Robson, J. G., & Wilkins, A. J. (1988). The design of a new letter chart for measuring contrast sensitivity. *Clinical Vision Sciences, 2*(3), 187-199
- Pronk, M., Lissenberg-Witte, B. I., van der Aa, H. P. A., Comijs, H. C., Smits, C., Lemke, U., Zekveld, A. A., & Kramer, S. E. (2019). Longitudinal relationships between decline in speech-in-noise recognition ability and cognitive functioning: The longitudinal aging study amsterdam. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 62*(4S), 1167-1187. https://doi.org/10.1044/2018_JSLHR-H-ASCC7-18-0120
- Radler, B. T., Rigotti, A., & Ryff, C. D. (2018). Persistently high psychological well-being predicts better HDL cholesterol and triglyceride levels: Findings from the midlife in the US (MIDUS) longitudinal study. *Lipids in Health and Disease, 17*(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12944-017-0646-8>

- Reitan, R. M. (1992). *Trail making test manual for administration and scoring*. Reitan Neuropsychology Laboratory.
- Ryff, C. D. (2014). Psychological well-being revisited: Advances in the science and practice of Eudaimonia. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 83(1), 10-28. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000353263>
- Ryff, C. D., & Kim, E. S. (2020). Extending research linking purpose in life to health: The challenges of inequality, the potential of the arts, and the imperative of virtue. In A. L. Burrow & P. L. Hill (Eds), *The Ecology of Purposeful Living Across the Lifespan* (pp. 29-58). Springer International Publishing.
- Scarmeas, N., Levy, G., Tang, M.-X., Manly, J., & Stern, Y. (2001). Influence of leisure activity on the incidence of Alzheimer's Disease. *Neurology*, 57(12), 2236-2242. <https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.57.12.2236>
- Schubert, C. R., Fischer, M. E., Pinto, A. A., Chen, Y., Klein, B. E. K., Klein, R., Tsai, M. Y., Tweed, T. S., & Cruickshanks, K. J. (2019). Brain aging in midlife: The beaver dam offspring study. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 67(8), 1610-1616. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.15886>
- Seeman, T. E., Lusignolo, T. M., Albert, M., & Berkman, L. (2001). Social relationships, social support, and patterns of cognitive aging in healthy, high-functioning older adults: MacArthur Studies of Successful Aging. *Health Psychology*, 20(4), 243-255. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0278-6133.20.4.243>
- Smith, T. W., & Ruiz, J. M. (2002). Psychosocial influences on the development and course of coronary heart disease: Current status and implications for research and practice. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 70(3), 548-568. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-006X.70.3.548>
- Stern, Y. (2009). Cognitive reserve. *Neuropsychologia*, 47(10), 2015-2028. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2009.03.004>
- Strauss, E., Sherman, E. M. S., & Spreen, O. (2006). *A compendium of neuropsychological tests* (3rd ed.). Oxford University Press
- Strawbridge, W. J., Wallhagen, M. I., Shema, S. J., & Kaplan, G. A. (2000). Negative consequences of hearing impairment in old age. *The Gerontologist*, 40(3), 320-326. <https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/40.3.320>
- Tombaugh, T. (1999). Normative data stratified by age and education for two measures of verbal fluency FAS and animal naming. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 14(2), 167-177. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0887-6177\(97\)00095-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0887-6177(97)00095-4)
- Ward, D. D., Mauschitz, M. M., Bönniger, M. M., Merten, N., Finger, R. P., & Breteler, M. M. B. (2020). Association of retinal layer measurements and adult cognitive function. *Neurology*, 95(9), e1144-e1152. <https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0000000000010146>
- Whitson, H. E., Cronin-Golomb, A., Cruickshanks, K. J., Gilmore, G. C., Owsley, C., Peelle, J. E., Recanzone, G., Sharma, A., Swenor, B., Yaffe, K., & Lin, F. R. (2018). American geriatrics society and national institute on aging bench-to bedside conference: Sensory impairment and cognitive decline in older adults. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 66(11), 2052-2058. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.15506>
- Wiley, TL, Cruickshanks, KJ, Nondahl, DM, Tweed, TS, Klein, R, & Klein, BE (1998). Aging and word recognition in competing message. *Journal of the American Academy of Audiology*, 9(3), 191-198
- Wilson, R. H., Zizz, C. A., Shanks, J. E., & Causey, G. D. (1990). Normative data in quiet, broadband noise, and competing message for Northwestern University Auditory Test No. 6 by a female speaker. *Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders*, 55(4), 771-778
- Windsor, T. D., Curtis, R. G., & Luszcz, M. A. (2015). Sense of purpose as a psychological resource for aging well. *Developmental Psychology*, 51(7), 975-986. <https://doi.org/10.1037/dev0000023>
- Xu, H., Yang, R., Qi, X., Dintica, C., Song, R., Bennett, D. A., & Xu, W. (2019). Association of lifespan cognitive reserve indicator with dementia risk in the presence of brain pathologies. *JAMA Neurology*, 76(10), 1184-1191. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2019.2455>
- Yang, Y. C., Boen, C., Gerken, K., Li, T., Schorpp, K., & Harris, K. M. (2016). Social relationships and physiological determinants of longevity across the human life span. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 113(3), 578-583. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1511085112>
- Yoo, J., & Ryff, CD (2019). Longitudinal profiles of psychological well-being and health: Findings from Japan. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10, 2746. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02746>
- Yu, L., Boyle, P. A., Wilson, R. S., Levine, S. R., Schneider, J. A., & Bennett, D. A. (2015). Purpose in life and cerebral infarcts in community-dwelling older people. *Stroke*, 46(4), 1071-1076. <https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.114.008010>
- Zhong, W., Cruickshanks, K. J., Schubert, C. R., Carlsson, C. M., Chappell, R. J., Klein, B. E. K., Klein, R., & Acher, C. W. (2014). Pulse wave velocity and cognitive function in older adults. *Alzheimer Disease and Associated Disorders*, 28(1), 44-49. <https://doi.org/10.1097/WAD.0b013e3182949f06>
- Zilioli, S., Slatcher, R. B., Ong, A. D., & Gruenewald, T. L. (2015). Purpose in life predicts allostatic load ten years later. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 79(5), 451-457. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychores.2015.09.013>